# Transportation Burdens and Emissions in Connecticut

Marcos Luna and Neenah Estrella-Luna

# 2021-01-20

# Contents

1	Ana	alysis of transportation-related emissions in Connecticut	<b>2</b>
	1.1	$PM_{2.5}$ in Connecticut	2
		1.1.1 PM <sub>2.5</sub> in Connecticut and Priority Populations	7
	1.2	Ozone $(O_3)$ in Connecticut	10
		1.2.1 Ozone $(O_3)$ in Connecticut and Priority Populations	15
	1.3	Carbon Dioxide $(CO_2)$ in Connecticut $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	18
	1.4	Diesel Particulate Matter in Connecticut	23
		1.4.1 Diesel Particulate Matter in Connecticut and Priority Populations	26
	1.5	Air Toxics Cancer Risk in Connecticut	28
		1.5.1 Lifetime Cancer Risk from Inhalation of Air Toxics in Connecticut and Priority Populations	30
	1.6	Respiratory Hazard Index in Connecticut	32
		1.6.1 Respiratory Hazard Index from Inhalation of Air Toxics in Connecticut and Priority	
		Populations	34
	1.7	Traffic Proximity and Volume in Connecticut	36
		1.7.1 Traffic Proximity and Volume Exposure in Connecticut and Priority Populations	38
			40
AĮ	pen	Idix A: Data and Methodology	40
	EP <i>P</i>	A S EJOUREEN	40
		$P_{M_{2,5}}$	40
		Discal Particulate Matter	40
		Ain Torrige Concor Digle	41
		All Toxics Calleer Risk	41
		Traffic Dravinity and Volume	41
	Date	abase of Road Transportation Emissions (DAPTE)	41
	Data	On road Carbon Diovido (CO <sub>4</sub> ) Emissions	42
	Am	origan Community Survey 5 year Estimates	42
	Dop	ulation weighted eveneses	42
	гор		40
A	open	dix B: Supplementary Figures	<b>45</b>

#### Appendix B: Supplementary Figures

# 1 Analysis of transportation-related emissions in Connecticut

This is an analysis of transportation-related emissions and related externalities in Connecticut.

# 1.1 PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Connecticut

 $\rm PM_{2.5}$  refers to particulate matter in the air that is 2.5 microns or less in diameter (about 30 times smaller than the width of a human hair). These small particulates pose a threat to human health because they can penetrate deeply into the lungs and even enter the bloodstream. The EPA has documented that exposure to  $\rm PM_{2.5}$  is associated with health effects such as elevated risk of premature mortality from cardiovascular diseases or lung cancer, and increased health problems such as asthma attacks.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, the EPA has found that people with pre-existing heart or lung disease, children and older adults, and nonwhite populations are at particular risk.<sup>2</sup>

Sources of  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions include power plants and industrial facilities that burn coal or petroleum-based fuels (i.e., oil or natural gas). However, most  $PM_{2.5}$  forms in the atmosphere as a result of chemical reactions between gases such as oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) or sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), which are pollutants emitted from power plants, industries, and automobiles.  $PM_{2.5}$  has been regulated by the US EPA under the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) since 1997. As of April 2020, the EPA's primary (health-based) standard for  $PM_{2.5}$  is an annual average of  $12\mu g/m^3$  (12 micrograms per cubic meter of air).<sup>3</sup> Research shows that  $PM_{2.5}$  continues to have a significant negative impact on mortality at concentrations below the EPA's standard.<sup>4</sup> Former EPA officials and scientists in an Independent Particulate Matter Review Panel have found that the current standard is not protective of public health and recommend that the annual standard be revised to a range of  $10\mu g/m^3$  to  $8\mu g/m^3$ . However, even at the lower end of the range, risk is not reduced to zero.<sup>5</sup>

The analysis of  $PM_{2.5}$  presented here is based on data from the EPA's EJSCREEN.<sup>6</sup> EJSCREEN data provides  $PM_{2.5}$  annual concentrations at the Census Block Group level for the years 2011 to 2016 (as of December 2019).

 $PM_{2.5}$  levels vary significantly across Connecticut, with highest concentrations in southwest Connecticut, with concentration declining from Stamford to Hartford (see Figure 1 below).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See EPA Particulate Matter (PM) Basics. https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/particulate-matter-pm-basics

 $<sup>^2</sup> See$  EPA SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL TO RETAIN THE AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR PARTICLE POLLUTION. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-04/documents/fact\_sheet\_pm\_naaqs\_proposal.pdf

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ An area would meet the primary standard if the three-year average of its annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration is less than or equal to the level of the standard. See EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for PM. https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/national-ambient-air-quality-standards-naaqs-pm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See Liuhua Shi, Antonella Zanobetti, Itai Kloog, Brent A. Coull, Petros Koutrakis, Steven J. Melly, and Joel D. Schwartz. 2016. Low-Concentration PM2.5 and Mortality: Estimating Acute and Chronic Effects in a Population-Based Study. Environmental Health Perspectives 124:1 CID: https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409111

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$ See Letter to US EPA Administrator regarding Advice from the Independent Particulate Matter Review Panel (formerly EPA CASAC Particulate Matter Review Panel) on EPA's Policy Assessment for the Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter (External Review Draft –September 2019). https://ucs-documents.s3.amazonaws.com/science-and-democracy/IPMRP-FINAL-LETTER-ON-DRAFT-PA-191022.pdf

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2019. EJSCREEN Technical Documentation. For more information see www.epa.gov/ejscreen



Figure 1: Map of 2016 annual PM2.5 concentrations across Connecticut at Census Block Group level.

 $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations exhibit spatial clustering of both hot spots (i.e. geographic clusters of high values) and cold spots (i.e. geographic clusters of lower values). The map below (Figure 2) shows statistically significant  $PM_{2.5}$  hot spots.



Figure 2: Hot spot map of 2016 annual PM2.5 concentrations at Census Block Group level.

There is a statistically significant hot spot of  $PM_{2.5}$  around Stamford to Danbury and Bridgeport. Warm clusters extend to just south of New Britain.

These  $PM_{2.5}$  levels vary significantly across the state (see Table 1 and Figure 3). See Table 13 in Appendix B for concentrations by municipality.

Table 1: Annual 2016 PM2.5 concentrations	(micrograms	$\operatorname{per}$	cubic
meter) by Census block group for the state.			

Mean	Median	Min	Max
7.18	7.2	5.59	8.22

The Block Group with highest  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration value in the state is found in Greenwich and the lowest is found in Voluntown.

Clusters of Block Groups with high  $PM_{2.5}$  values remains apparent. Figure 3 is a boxplot of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations by Block Group. The box represents concentration values ranging between the 25th and 75th percentiles. The line that divides the box into 2 parts represents the median  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration for all Block Groups, which in this case is 7.2. Half of the state's Block Groups are below the median and half are above the median. Each dot represents an individual Block Group. Note that a large cluster of dots is concentrated on the far right, at the upper end of concentration values. Most of these are in southwest Connecticut.



Figure 3: Boxplot of 2016 annual PM2.5 concentrations at Census Block Group level. 1 dot = 1 Block Group.

Since 2011,  $PM_{2.5}$  levels have declined across the state, on average by 22.8%. Unsurprisingly, this decline has not been uniform (see Figure 4 below). The greatest declines, up to 32.4%, have been in the eastern half of the state.



Figure 4: Map of percent change in annual PM2.5 concentrations across Connecticut between 2011 and 2016 at Census Block Group level.

Figure 5 below compares the average annual  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations for the state and the region between 2011 and 2016. The region and the state both showed significant declines since 2011, although note that concentrations in Connecticut have remained consistently well above the rest of the region.



Figure 5: Change in population-weighted concentrations of PM2.5 between 2011 and 2016 for Connecticut and New England.

#### 1.1.1 PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Connecticut and Priority Populations

In addition to variations in the general geography of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations, exposure to these pollutants also varies demographically. Figure 6 below shows population-weighted exposures for priority populations relative to average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations for the state. For example, language isolated households in Connecticut are exposed to  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations that are 2.8% above concentrations for the state as a whole. Similarly, People of Color are exposed to concentrations over 2% above the state average. By contrast, persons over age 64 are, on average, exposed to concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  at or below the state average.



Figure 6: Population-weighted average exposures to PM2.5 for priority populations in Connecticut relative to the state average.

Like the region as a whole, these populations have also experienced a decline in exposure since 2011. The comparison between exposure for these groups since 2011 is displayed below in Figure 7. Note however that all priority populations continue to experience exposures greater than the regional average, with language isolated households leading on this measure.

# Population–Weighted PM2.5 Exposure

PM<sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)





There is a weak positive relationship between the proportion of people of color or language-isolated households and the concentration of  $PM_{2.5}$  (see Figure 36 in Appendix B).

## 1.2 Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) in Connecticut

Ground-level ozone  $(O_3)$  is the primary constituent of smog.<sup>7</sup> However, ozone is not usually emitted directly into the air. It is created at ground level by a chemical reaction in the air between oxides of nitrogen  $(NO_x)$ and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of sunlight. These ozone precursor pollutants are emitted from automobile exhaust, gasoline vapors, industrial boilers, refineries, chemical plants, and other sources. Ozone concentrations tend to be highest during the summer months due to increased sunlight and heat. Ozone can also be carried long distances by wind, affecting areas far from the sources of precursor pollutants.

The EPA has documented an association between exposure to ambient ozone and a variety of health outcomes, including reduction in lung function, increased inflammation and increased hospital admissions and mortality.<sup>8</sup> People most at risk from breathing air containing ozone include people with asthma, children, older adults, and people who are active outdoors, especially outdoor workers. Children are at greatest risk from exposure to ozone because their lungs are still developing and they are more likely to be active outdoors when ozone levels are high, which increases their exposure. Children are also more likely than adults to have asthma.<sup>9</sup>

Ground level ozone has been regulated by the US EPA under the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) since 1971. As of April 2020, the EPA's primary (health-based) standard for ground level ozone is 70 parts per billion (ppb).<sup>10</sup> However, the EPA has acknowledged that clinical and epidemiological evidence has been inconclusive about a possible threshold for ozone-induced health effects. EPA concluded that if a population threshold level exists, it is near the lower limit of ambient ozone concentrations in the United States.<sup>11</sup>

The analysis of ozone  $(O_3)$  presented here is based on data from the EPA's EJSCREEN.<sup>12</sup> EJSCREEN data provides ozone  $(O_3)$  May–September (summer/ ozone season) average of daily-maximum 8-hour-average ozone concentrations, in parts per billion (ppb) at the Census Block Group level for the years 2011 to 2016 (as of December 2019).

Ozone  $(O_3)$  levels vary significantly across Connecticut, with highest concentrations in southwest Connecticut, and declining toward the north and east (see Figure 8 below).<sup>13</sup>

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$ Tropospheric, or ground-level ozone, is not to be confused with the stratospheric ozone layer. The latter occurs naturally high in the atmosphere and protects us from ultraviolet radiation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See EPA SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL TO RETAIN THE AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR PARTICLE POLLUTION. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-04/documents/fact\_sheet\_pm\_naaqs\_proposal.pdf

 $<sup>^9 {\</sup>rm See}$  EPA Health Effects of Ozone Pollution. https://www.epa.gov/ground-level-ozone-pollution/health-effects-ozone-pollution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>An area would meet the primary standard if the fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average of ozone, averaged across three consecutive years, is less than equal to the standard. See EPA 2015 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for Ozone. https://www.epa.gov/ground-level-ozone-pollution/2015-national-ambient-air-quality-standards-naaqs-ozone

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>See U.S. EPA. (2006). Air Quality Criteria for Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants. Washington, DC. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=149923.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2019. EJSCREEN Technical Documentation. For more information see www.epa.gov/ejscreen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Note that the EJSCREEN values do not directly indicate nonattainment of the NAAQS standard because the EJSCREEN data is based on estimates from a combination of modeling and monitoring for a single year, while nonattainment is determined for a large area (often a county) based on three years of monitoring data. For example, five counties in Connecticut have been designated as "nonattainment" status for NAAQS ozone standards as of March 2020. For a list of nonattainment counties see EPA 8-Hour Ozone Designated Area State/Area/County Report. https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/jbcs.html#CT



Figure 8: Map of 2016 Ozone summer seasonal average of daily maximum 8-hour concentration in air in parts per billion across Connecticut at Census Block Group level.

Ozone  $(O_3)$  concentrations exhibit spatial clustering of both hot spots (i.e. geographic clusters of high values) and cold spots (i.e. geographic clusters of lower values). The map below (Figure 9)shows statistically significant Ozone  $(O_3)$  hot spots.



Figure 9: Hot spot map of 2016 Ozone concentrations at Census Block Group level.

There are statistically significant hot spots of Ozone  $(O_3)$  primarily between Stamford and Bridgeport. A warm cluster extends as north as New Britain.

These Ozone  $(O_3)$  levels vary significantly across the state (see Table 2 and Figure 10). See Table 13 in Appendix B for concentrations by municipality.

Table 2: Annual 2016 ozone concentrations (parts per billion) by Census block group for the state.

Mean	Median	Min	Max
46.32	46.1	42.38	49.28

The Block Group with highest  $O_3$  concentration value in the state is found in Stamford and the lowest is found in Thompson.

Figure 10 is a boxplot of  $O_3$  concentrations by Block Group . The box represents concentration values ranging between the 25th and 75th percentiles. The line that divides the box into 2 parts represents the median  $O_3$  concentration for all Block Groups, which in this case is 46.1. Half of the state's Block Groups are below the median and half are above the median. Each dot represents an individual Block Group.



Figure 10: Boxplot of 2016 Ozone summer seasonal average of daily maximum 8-hour concentrations in air in parts per billion by state at Census Block Group level. 1 dot = 1 Block Group.

Since 2011, Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) levels have increased slightly across the state, on average by 4.8%. These have changes not been uniform (see Figure 11 below). The greatest declines, up to -3.6%, have been along the eastern edge of the state. By contrast, increases in summer ozone concentrations of up to 8.1% appear in the extreme southwest and center of the state.



Figure 11: Map of percent change in summer seasonal Ozone concentrations across Connecticut between 2011 and 2016 at Census Block Group level.

Figure 12 below compares the average summer Ozone  $(O_3)$  concentrations for the state and for the region between 2011 and 2016. Connecticut's increase has been greater than the region.

# Summer Average Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) Concentrations



Figure 12: Change in summer average ozone concentrations between 2011 and 2016 for Connecticut and New England.

#### 1.2.1 Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) in Connecticut and Priority Populations

In addition to variations in the general geography of Ozone  $(O_3)$  concentrations, exposure to this pollutant also varies demographically. Figure 13 below shows population-weighted exposures for priority populations relative to average Ozone concentrations for the region. For example, language isolated households in Connecticut are exposed to summer Ozone concentrations that are more than 0.76% above concentrations for the region as a whole. Similarly, People of Color are exposed to concentrations 0.55% above the regional average. By contrast, persons over age 64 are, on average, exposed to concentrations of Ozone at or below the regional average.



Figure 13: Population-weighted average exposures to Ozone for priority populations in Connecticut relative to the regional average.

Like the region as a whole, these populations have also experienced changes in exposure since 2011. The comparison between exposure for these groups since 2011 is displayed below in Figure 14. All priority populations have experienced an increase in population-weighted exposure to Ozone, with persons in language-isolated households and People of Color experiencing the greatest increase in exposure.

# Population–Weighted Ozone Exposure

O<sub>3</sub> (ppb)



Figure 14: Change in population-weighted exposure to summer ozone for priority populations between 2011 and 2016.

## 1.3 Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) in Connecticut

Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  emissions are the primary driver of human-induced climate change.<sup>14</sup> Direct exposure to  $CO_2$  is not a significant health concern, but its cumulative effects on the climate and global environment are. In addition to risks such as sea level rise, increasing frequency and intensity of extreme weather (e.g., flooding, storms, droughts, heat waves), and economic disruption, climate change is likely to degrade air quality by exacerbating smog formation and other airborne irritants.<sup>15</sup> The single largest source of  $CO_2$  emissions is the transportation sector, especially automobiles. Other sources of  $CO_2$  emissions include the combustion of coal or petroleum-based fuels for electricity production, industry, heating of commercial and residential buildings, agriculture, and land use and forestry.<sup>16</sup>

In 2007, the US Supreme Court ruled that  $CO_2$  is a pollutant under the terms of the Clean Air Act and therefore the EPA has statutory authority to regulate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The EPA and National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) subsequently issued new fuel economy standards which included GHG standards for light-duty vehicles (passenger cars and trucks) for model years 2012 -2016 and then model years 2017 - 2025. The latter required auto manufacturers to reduce average GHG emissions by approximately 23% by 2026.<sup>17</sup> The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the global authority on climate change science and policy, has warned that the world must bring GHG emissions down to "net zero" as soon as possible in order to avoid catastrophic climate change.<sup>18</sup>

The analysis of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  presented here is based on data from the Database of Road Transportation Emissions (DARTE), a product of the NASA Carbon Monitoring System (CMS). DARTE provides  $CO_2$ emissions from on-road transportation annually for 1980-2017 as a continuous surface at a spatial resolution of 1 km and also aggregated at the Census Block Group level.<sup>19</sup>

On-road  $CO_2$  emissions closely follow major roadways across the state (see Figure 15 below).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>See Union of Concerned Scientists. Global Warming FAQ. https://www.ucsusa.org/resources/global-warming-faq

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$ See Union of Concerned Scientists. Climate Change and Your Health: Rising temperatures worsening ozone pollution. https://www.nrcm.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/UCS\_climate\_health\_impact6.1.11.pdf

 $<sup>^{16}{\</sup>rm See}$  EPA Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks. https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-sinks

 $<sup>^{18}{\</sup>rm See}$  IPCC. Summary for Policymakers of IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of  $1.5^{\circ}{\rm C}$  approved by governments. https://www.ipcc.ch/2018/10/08/summary-for-policymakers-of-ipcc-special-report-on-global-warming-of-1-5c-approved-by-governments/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Gately, C., L.R. Hutyra, and I.S. Wing. 2019. DARTE Annual On-road CO2 Emissions on a 1-km Grid, Conterminous USA, V2, 1980-2017. ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1735



Figure 15: Map of 2017 Carbon Dioxide (CO2) annual on-road emissions in metric tons per square kilometer across Connecticut at Census Block Group level.

Significant hot spots, or clusters of high  $CO_2$  emissions, appear around along I-84 in Danbury and Hartford, and along I-95 in Greenwich, Bridgeport, and New Haven (see Figure 16 below).



Figure 16: Hot spot map of 2017 CO2 emissions at Census Block Group level.

 $CO_2$  emissions vary across the state with significant outliers (see Table 3). See Table 13 in Appendix B for on-road emissions by municipality.

Table 3: Annual 2017 On-road CO2 emissions (mtons) by Census

block group by state

Mean	Median	Min	Max	State Total
5.924	1.517	5	258.320	15.284.098

The Block Group with highest  $CO_2$  emissions value in the state is found in Manchester and the lowest is found in Stamford.

Since 1990,  $CO_2$  emissions increased significantly across the state, on average by 14.2%. These changes have not been uniform (see Figure 17 below). The greatest declines have been in northwest Connecticut and in the eastern third of the state. By contrast, the south central and southern edges of the state have seen increased emissions, with the greatest increase occurring in Middletown.



Figure 17: Map of percent change in annual CO2 emissions across Connecticut between 1990 and 2017 at Census Block Group level.

At the state level the differences in these changes are also apparent, although less extreme than at the Census block group level. Table 4 shows summary statistics of  $CO_2$  emissions for the state as a whole. Figure 18 shows annual  $CO_2$  emissions between 1990 and 2017.

1990 CO2 (mtons)	2017 CO2 (mtons)	Pct Change	1990 Per Capita (mtons/person) (	2017 Per Capita mtons/person)	Per Capita Pct Change
$13,\!381,\!852$	$15,\!284,\!098$	14%	4.07	4.28	5%

Table 4: Annual On-road CO2 Emissions



Figure 18: Total CO2 emissions 1990 to 2017 for Connecticut.

The growth in  $CO_2$  emissions since 1990 exceeds population growth in the state as is evident in the per capita emissions (see last three columns in Table 4).

### 1.4 Diesel Particulate Matter in Connecticut

Diesel particulate matter (DPM) refers to particulate matter generated from the combustion of diesel fuel. DPM mass (expressed as  $\mu$ gDPM/m<sup>3</sup>) has historically been used as a surrogate measure of exposure for diesel exhaust more generally. Diesel exhaust is a complex mixture of thousands of gases and fine particles that contains more than 40 toxic air contaminants. These include many known or suspected cancer-causing substances, such as benzene, arsenic and formaldehyde. It also contains other harmful pollutants, including nitrogen oxides (a component of smog). In addition to long term cancer risk, exposure to diesel exhaust can have immediate health effects. It can irritate the eyes, nose, throat and lungs, and it can cause coughs, headaches, light-headedness and nausea. Exposure to diesel exhaust also causes inflammation in the lungs, which may aggravate chronic respiratory symptoms and increase the frequency or intensity of asthma attacks.<sup>20</sup>

Major sources of diesel exhaust include engines and motorized vehicles that use diesel fuel, such as trucks, buses, trains, ships, and diesel-powered generators. DPM is classified by the EPA as a Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP). HAPs are pollutants that are known or suspected to cause cancer or other serious health effects, such as reproductive effects or birth defects, or adverse environmental effects. The EPA has not quantified the cancer risk from exposure to DPM. However, it has established a diesel exhaust reference concentration (RfC) for noncancer health effects. The RfC is  $5\mu g/m^3$  for diesel exhaust measured as diesel particulate matter (DPM). This RfC does not consider allergenic effects such as those associated with asthma, immunologic effects or the potential for cardiac effects.<sup>21</sup>

The analysis of DPM presented here is based on data from the EPA's EJSCREEN.<sup>22</sup> EJSCREEN data provides annual DPM concentrations, in micrograms per cubic meter of air  $(\mu g/m^3)$ , at the Census Block Group level for 2014, the latest year of data available from the National Air Toxics Assessment.

DPM emissions are concentrated along the I-95 highway traffic corridor from Stamford to New Haven, and north to Hartford along I-91 (see Figure 19 below).

 $<sup>^{20} {\</sup>rm See}$  CalEPA Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the American Lung Association. Health Effects of Diesel Exhaust. https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/calenviroscreen/indicators/diesel4-02.pdf

 $<sup>^{21}</sup> See~2014$  NATA Technical Support Document. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-09/documents/2014\_nat a\_technical\_support\_document.pdf

 $<sup>^{22} \</sup>rm U.S.$  Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2019. EJSCREEN Technical Documentation. For more information see www.epa.gov/ejscreen



Figure 19: Map of 2014 annual average ambient concentrations of Diesel Particulate Matter in micrograms per cubic meter across Connecticut at Census Block Group level.

There are significant spatial clusters of high Diesel Particulate Matter concentrations in Stamford, Fairfield, New Haven, and Hartford (see Figure 20 below).



Figure 20: Hot spot map of 2014 Diesel Particulate Matter emissions at Census Block Group level.

Diesel Particulate Matter concentrations vary across the state (see Table 5 and Figure 21 below).

Mean	Median	Min	Max
0.335	0.326	0.121	0.649

Table 5: Annual 2014 Diesel Particulate Matter concentrations byCensus block group

The Block Group with highest Diesel Particulate Matter emissions value in the state is found in New London and the lowest is found in Cornwall. See Table 13 in Appendix B for concentrations by municipality.

Figure 21 is a boxplot of DPM concentrations by Block Group . The box represents concentration values ranging between the 25th and 75th percentiles. The line that divides the box into 2 parts represents the median DPM concentration for all Block Groups, which in this case is 0.33. Half of the state's Block Groups are below the median and half are above the median. Each dot represents an individual Block Group.



Figure 21: Boxplot of 2014 diesel particulate matter (DPM) concentrations in micrograms per cubic meter by state at Census Block Group level. 1 dot = 1 Block Group.

#### 1.4.1 Diesel Particulate Matter in Connecticut and Priority Populations

In addition to variations in the general geography of Diesel Particulate Matter concentrations, exposure to these concentrations also varies demographically. Figure 22 below shows population-weighted exposures for priority populations relative to average Diesel Particulate Matter concentrations for the state. For example, linguistically isolated households in Connecticut are exposed to Diesel Particulate Matter concentrations (following that are almost 20% above concentrations for the region as a whole. Similarly, low income persons (following Connecticut's definition) are exposed to concentrations more than 16% above the regional average. By contrast, persons over age 64 are, on average, exposed to concentrations of Diesel Particulate Matter 5% below the regional average.



# Population–Weighted Exposure to Diesel Particulate Matter (relative to Connecticut average)

Figure 22: Population-weighted average exposures to annual average ambient concentrations of Diesel Particulate Matter across Connecticut relative to the regional average.

There is a moderate to strong positive correlation between the proportions of limited English speaking households and People of Color and ambient concentrations of Diesel Particulate Matter (see Figure 39 in Appendix B).

## 1.5 Air Toxics Cancer Risk in Connecticut

Air toxics, often referred to as hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), are pollutants that are known or suspected to cause cancer or other serious health effects, such as reproductive effects or birth defects, or adverse environmental effects.

Most air toxics originate from transportation and industry, including automobiles, industrial facilities, and power plants. EPA regulates 187 chemicals under its HAP program. Since 1996, the EPA's National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) program has provided nationwide assessments of outdoor air quality with respect to emissions of air toxics. NATA uses emissions estimates from the National Emissions Inventory (NEI), which is updated every three years. The NEI includes all of the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) reporting facilities that release hazardous air pollutants, along with many other sources of air pollutants, such as motor vehicles. NATA estimates the cancer risks from breathing these air toxics over a lifetime.<sup>23</sup>

The analysis of air toxics cancer risk presented here is based on data from the EPA's EJSCREEN.<sup>24</sup> EJSCREEN data provides lifetime cancer risk from inhalation of air toxics, as risk-in-1 million, at the Census Block Group level for 2014, the latest year of data available from the National Air Toxics Assessment.

Air toxics cancer risks are concentrated along the highway traffic corridor from Stamford to New Haven along I-95 and from New Haven to Hartford along I-91 (see Figure 23 below).



Figure 23: Map of 2014 lifetime cancer risk from inhalation of air toxics (expressed as risk in-1 million) across Connecticut at Census Block Group level.

There appear to be significant spatial clusters of high lifetime cancer risk from inhalation of air toxics north of Stamford, New Haven, and in Hartford (see Figure 24 below).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>National Air Toxics Assessment Overview. https://www.epa.gov/national-air-toxics-assessment/nata-overview

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$  U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2019. EJSCREEN Technical Documentation. For more information see www.epa.gov/ejscreen



Figure 24: Hot spot map of 2014 lifetime cancer risk from inhalation of air toxics at Census Block Group level.

Lifetime cancer risk from inhalation of air toxics varies across the state (see Table 6 and Figure 25 below).

Table 6:	Cancer	Risk from	Inhalation	of Air	Toxics	by Cens	sus block
group (r	isk-in-1	million)					

Mean	Median	Min	Max
25.1	25.4	18.9	48.4

The Block Group with highest cancer risk value in the state is found in Norwalk and the lowest is found in Norfolk.

Figure 25 is a boxplot of cancer risk by Block Group . The box represents risk values ranging between the 25th and 75th percentiles. The line that divides the box into 2 parts represents the median lifetime cancer risk value for all Block Groups, which in this case is 25.43. Half of the state's Block Groups are below the median and half are above the median. Each dot represents an individual Block Group. The large dots represent outliers, or unusually high values. In this case, outliers would be represented by cancer risk values greater than 32.3632712, which occur in Block Groups in Norwalk and New Haven. See Table 13 in Appendix B for concentrations by municipality.



Figure 25: Boxplot of lifetime cancer risk from inhalation of air toxics (expressed as risk in-1 million) across Connecticut by state at Census Block Group level. 1 dot = 1 Block Group.

#### 1.5.1 Lifetime Cancer Risk from Inhalation of Air Toxics in Connecticut and Priority Populations

In addition to variations in the general geography of lifetime cancer risk from inhalation of air toxics, exposure to these risks also varies demographically. Figure 26 below shows population-weighted exposures for priority populations relative to average cancer risk for the state. For example, limited English speaking households in Connecticut experience lifetime cancer risks from inhalation of air toxics that are 4.5% above the region as a whole. Similarly, People of Color experience lifetime cancer risks almost 4% above the state average. By contrast, persons over age 64 are, on average, exposed to lifetime cancer risks 1% below the state average.



# Population–Weighted Average Lifetime Cancer Risk (relative to Connecticut average)

Figure 26: Population-weighted average lifetime cancer risk from inhalation of air toxics (expressed as risk in-1 million) across Connecticut at Census Block Group level relative to the state average.

There is a moderately positive correlation between the proportions of People of Color and limited English households and cancer risk (see Figure 40 in Appendix B).

## 1.6 Respiratory Hazard Index in Connecticut

Respiratory hazard from air toxics refers to noncancer effects caused by a lifetime of exposure to air toxics listed as Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs).<sup>25</sup> EPA's National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) program calculates a hazard quotient, which is the ratio of ambient air concentration to a chemical's health-based reference concentration (RfC). No adverse health effects are expected from exposure if the hazard quotient is less than one. This hazard quotient represents the cumulative impacts of all the relevant air toxics for which respiratory effects were the key health effect.<sup>26</sup>

The analysis of respiratory hazard presented here is based on data from the EPA's EJSCREEN.<sup>27</sup> EJSCREEN data provides respiratory hazard, as a ratio of exposure concentration to a health-based reference concentration (RfC), at the Census Block Group level for 2014, the latest year of data available from the National Air Toxics Assessment.

Higher respiratory hazard indices are concentrated along the I-95 to I-91 highway traffic corridor from Stamford to Hartford (see Figure 27 below).



Figure 27: Map of 2014 respiratory hazard index from inhalation of air toxics across Connecticut at Census Block Group level.

There appear to be significant spatial clusters of high indices of respiratory hazard index from inhalation of air toxics along the I-95 to I-91 highway traffic corridor from Stamford to Hartford (see Figure 28 below).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>National Air Toxics Assessment Overview. https://www.epa.gov/national-air-toxics-assessment/nata-overview

 $<sup>^{26}</sup>$ See "Characterizing Effects of Air Toxics" in Technical Support Document: EPA's 2014 National Air Toxics Assessment. https://www.epa.gov/national-air-toxics-assessment/2014-nata-technical-support-document

 $<sup>^{27}</sup>$ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2019. EJSCREEN Technical Documentation. For more information see www.epa.gov/ejscreen



Figure 28: Hot spot map of 2014 respiratory hazard index from inhalation of air toxics at Census Block Group level.

Respiratory hazard indices from inhalation of air toxics vary across the state (see Table 7 and Figure 29 below).

Table 7: Respiratory Hazard Index from Inhalation of Air Toxics by Census block group (ratio of exposure concentration to health-based reference concentration)

Mean	Median	Min	Max
0.31	0.31	0.21	0.39

The Block Group with highest respiratory hazard index value in the state is found in Greenwich and the lowest is found in Norfolk. See Table 13 in Appendix B for concentrations by municipality.

Figure 29 is a boxplot of respiratory hazard indices by Block Group . The box represents index values ranging between the 25th and 75th percentiles. The line that divides the box into 2 parts represents the median respiratory hazard index value for all Block Groups, which in this case is 0.31. Half of the state's Block Groups are below the median and half are above the median. Each dot represents an individual Block Group.



Figure 29: Boxplot of respiratory hazard index from inhalation of air toxics across Connecticut by state at Census Block Group level. 1 dot = 1 Block Group.

#### 1.6.1 Respiratory Hazard Index from Inhalation of Air Toxics in Connecticut and Priority Populations

In addition to variations in the general geography of the respiratory hazard index, exposure to these risks also varies demographically. Figure 30 below shows population-weighted exposures for priority populations relative to average respiratory hazard index for the state. For example, limited English speaking households in Connecticut experience respiratory hazard indices that are 6.1% above the region as a whole. Similarly, People of Color are experience hazard index values almost 5% above the regional average. By contrast, persons over age 64 are, on average, exposed to respiratory hazard indices 1.5% below the regional average.



# Population–Weighted Average Respiratory Hazard Index (relative to Connecticut average)

Figure 30: Population-weighted average respiratory hazard index from inhalation of air toxics (expressed as ratio of exposure concentration to health-based reference concentration) across Connecticut at Census Block Group level relative to the regional average.

There is a moderate to strong positive correlation between the proportions of People of Color and respiratory hazard index (see Figure 41 in Appendix B).

# 1.7 Traffic Proximity and Volume in Connecticut

Proximity to motor vehicle traffic is associated with greater exposure to toxic gases and particulate matter, as well as increased noise. Vehicle-related emissions include ultrafine particulates and other components of  $PM_{2.5}$ , lead and other metals, air toxics such as benzene, nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide (CO), as well as precursors that add to the formation of ground level ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and smog. Research has repeatedly shown that living near highly trafficked roads is related to increased risk of a variety of adverse health outcomes, including asthma, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, stroke, stress, and increased rates of mortality. EPA's 2005 National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) estimated that mobile emissions accounted for about 30% of average cancer risk from Hazardous Air Pollutants.<sup>28</sup>

EPA's EJSCREEN provides an indicator of traffic exposure measured as residential proximity to roads weighted by traffic volume. More specifically, EJSCREEN's Traffic Proximity and Volume indicator is a count of vehicles (average annual daily traffic) at major roads within 500 meters of residential areas (i.e., Census Blocks) divided by distance in kilometers (km).<sup>29</sup> For example, a residential area at 100 meters distance from a single highway with 33,000 AADT (average annual daily traffic) would result in a score of 33,000/100=330, which is approximately the median block group traffic proximity indicator value in New England. The Traffic Proximity and Volume indicator values are aggregated at the Census Block Group level.

Exposure to high annual daily traffic volume is concentrated along the I-95 to I-91 highway traffic corridor from Stamford to Hartford (see Figure 31 below).



Figure 31: Map of 2017 traffic proximity and volume at major roads within 500 meters, divided by distance in kilometers (km)) across Connecticut at Census Block Group level.

 $<sup>^{28}\</sup>mbox{See}$  "Details on Environmental Indicators: Traffic Proximity" in EJSCREEN Environmental Justice Mapping and Screening Tool: EJSCREEN Technical Documentation 2019. www.epa.gov/ejscreen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>Measures of traffic proximity in EJSCREEN are based on average annual daily traffic (AADT) estimates in the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) dataset in the Department of Transportation (DOT) National Transportation Atlas Database (NTAD).

There appear to be significant spatial clusters of traffic proximity and volume in Fairfield, New Haven, and Hartford (see Figure 32 below).



Figure 32: Hot spot map of 2017 traffic proximity and volume at Census Block Group level.

Traffic Proximity and Volume exposure vary across the state (see Table 8 and Figure 33 below).

Table	8:	Annual	traffic	proximity	and	volume
(AADT	/Dista	nce(km))				
					_	

Mean	Median	Min	Max
651	201	0	$12,\!551$

The Block Group with highest Traffic Proximity and Volume value in the state is found in New Haven and the lowest is found in Redding. See Table 13 in Appendix B for values by municipality.

Figure 33 is a boxplot of Traffic Proximity and Volume values by Block Group . The box represents values ranging between the 25th and 75th percentiles. The line that divides the box into 2 parts represents the median Traffic Proximity and Volume value for all Block Groups, which in this case is 201. Half of the state's Block Groups are below the median and half are above the median. Each dot represents an individual Block Group. The large black dots represent outliers, or unusually high values.



# Traffic Proximity and Volume by Census block group, 2017

Figure 33: Boxplot of 2017 traffic proximity and volume at major roads within 500 meters, divided by distance in kilometers (km)) across Connecticut at Census Block Group level.

#### 1.7.1 Traffic Proximity and Volume Exposure in Connecticut and Priority Populations

In addition to variations in the general geography of the Traffic Proximity and Volume exposure, this exposure also varies demographically. Figure 34 below shows population-weighted exposures for priority populations relative to average Traffic Proximity and Volume for the state. For example, low income persons (following Connecticut's definition) are exposed to Traffic Proximity and Volume almost 70% above the state as a whole. Similarly, low income persons, as defined by the state's environmental justice policy, are exposed to Traffic Proximity and Volume almost 64% above the state average. By contrast, persons over age 64 are, on average, are exposed to Traffic Proximity and Volume of more than 24% below the regional average.



# Population–Weighted Average Traffic Proximity and Volume (relative to Connecticut average)

Figure 34: Population-weighted average Traffic Proximity and Volume (calculated as a count of vehicles (average annual daily traffic) at major roads within 500 meters, divided by distance in kilometers (km)) across Connecticut at Census Block Group level relative to the state average.

There is a moderate positive correlation between the proportions of People of Color, low income persons, limited English households, adults with less than a high school education, and traffic proximity and volume (see Figure 42 in Appendix B).

# Appendix A: Data and Methodology

The analyses presented here are based on data from three sources:

- U.S. EPA's EJSCREEN
  - $PM_{2.5}$
  - Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>)
  - Diesel Particulate Matter
  - Air Toxics Cancer Risk
  - Respiratory Hazard Index from Air Toxics
  - Traffic Proximity and Volume
- Database of Road Transportation Emissions (DARTE)
   On-road Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Emissions
- American Community Survey 5-year Estimates
  - Population demographics

## **EPA's EJSCREEN**

The U.S. EPA's EJSCREEN is an online environmental justice mapping and screening tool that provides a "nationally consistent dataset and approach for combining environmental and demographic indicators." EJSCREEN provides data on 11 environmental indicators, ranging across air, land, and water. The six indicators analyzed here were chosen based on their relationship to transportation sources, especially motor vehicles. Data for each indicator is available by Census Block Group across the U.S. The 2015 (earliest available) and 2019 (latest available) data sets were downloaded from https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen/download-ejscreen-data as CSV files and processed in R.

All data was analyzed or aggregated geographically by Census Tract and Block Group. A Census Tract is a small, relatively permanent statistical subdivision of a county that contains between 1,200 and 8,000 people. The entire area of a county is covered by Census Tracts, just as the entire area of a state is covered by counties or county equivalents. Census Tracts range in areal size depending on the population density; smaller areas in denser areas and larger areas in less densely populated areas. Census Block Groups are subdivisions of Census Tracts that contain between 600 and 3,000 people. Like Tracts, Block Groups range in areal size depending on the population density of the area. Block Groups are the smallest geographic unit at which detailed demographic and household data from the American Community Survey is made available by the U.S. Census Bureau.

Below is a summary of the measurement unit and source of each environmental indicator. For more detail on these data sources, see the EJSCREEN Environmental Justice Mapping and Screening Tool: EJSCREEN Technical Documentation 2019. www.epa.gov/ejscreen.

### $PM_{2.5}$

 $PM_{2.5}$  refers to paticulate matter less than 2.5 microns (millionths of a meter) in diameter.  $PM_{2.5}$  ambient concentrations are measured as mass in micrograms (millionths of a gram) per cubic meter of air ( $\mu g/m^3$ ). Ambient concentrations are provided by Census Block Group across the U.S. These concentrations are estimated from a combination of monitoring data and air quality modeling. Ambient  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration is estimated by EPA's Office of Research and Development using a Bayesian space-time downscaling fusion model approach. EPA's Office of Air and Radiation originally estimated these concentrations at Census Tract level and then assigned the same values to all Block Groups within their respective Tracts.

 $\rm PM_{2.5}$  data from EJSCREEN's 2019 data set is for 2016.  $\rm PM_{2.5}$  data from EJSCREEN's 2015 data set is for 2011.

### Ozone $(O_3)$

Ozone  $(O_3)$  refers to ground level (i.e. Tropospheric) ozone formed as a result of chemical interactions between oxides of nitrogen  $(NO_x)$  and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of sunlight. Ambient

concentrations of ozone are measured as a summer seasonal average (May to September) of daily maximum 8-hour concentration in air in parts per billion (ppb). Ambient concentrations are provided by Census Block Group across the U.S. These concentrations are estimated from a combination of monitoring data and air quality modeling. Ambient ozone concentration is estimated by EPA's Office of Research and Development using a Bayesian space-time downscaling fusion model approach. EPA's Office of Air and Radiation originally estimated these concentrations at Census Tract level and then assigned the same values to all Block Groups within their respective Tracts.

Ozone data from EJSCREEN's 2019 data set is for 2016. Ozone data from EJSCREEN's 2015 data set is for 2011.

#### Diesel Particulate Matter

Diesel Particulate Matter (DPM) refers to particulate matter emitted in diesel exhaust and is typically used as a surrogate measure of diesel exhaust more generally. DPM ambient concentrations are measured as mass in micrograms (millionths of a gram) per cubic meter of air ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). Ambient concentrations are provided by Census Block Group across the U.S. These concentrations are estimated from EPA's National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) program. NATA uses emissions estimates from the National Emissions Inventory (NEI), which is updated every three years. The NEI includes all of the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) reporting facilities that release hazardous air pollutants, along with many other sources of air pollutants, such as motor vehicles. NATA estimates are at Tract resolution. Each Block Group was assigned the DPM score of the tract containing it.

The 2019 version of EJSCREEN uses 2014 NATA data, which is based on NEI emissions estimates for 2014.

#### Air Toxics Cancer Risk

Air Toxics Cancer Risk refers to lifetime risk (i.e. over 70 years) of developing cancer as a result of breathing ambient levels of toxic or Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs). This risk is reported as the risk-in-1 million of developing cancer. EJSCREEN uses the most recent data from EPA's National-Scale Air Toxics Assessment (NATA). NATA estimates cancer risk from the health implications of 138 air pollutants classified as HAPs. NATA uses emissions estimates from the National Emissions Inventory (NEI), which is updated every three years. The NEI includes all of the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) reporting facilities that release hazardous air pollutants, along with many other sources of air pollutants, such as motor vehicles. NATA estimates are at Tract resolution. Each Block Group was assigned the hazard score of the tract containing it.

The 2019 version of EJSCREEN uses 2014 NATA data, which is based on NEI emissions estimates for 2014.

#### **Respiratory Hazard Index**

Respiratory Hazard Index refers to noncancer effects caused by a lifetime of exposure to air toxics listed as Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs). EPA's National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) program calculates a hazard quotient, which is the ratio of ambient air concentration to a chemical's health-based reference concentration (RfC). No adverse health effects are expected from exposure if the hazard quotient is less than one. This hazard quotient represents the cumulative impacts of all the relevant air toxics for which respiratory effects were the key health effect. NATA uses emissions estimates from the National Emissions Inventory (NEI), which is updated every three years. The NEI includes all of the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) reporting facilities that release hazardous air pollutants, along with many other sources of air pollutants, such as motor vehicles. NATA estimates are at Tract resolution. Each Block Group was assigned the hazard score of the tract containing it.

The 2019 version of EJSCREEN uses 2014 NATA data, which is based on NEI emissions estimates for 2014.

### Traffic Proximity and Volume

Traffic Proximity and Volume refers to an index of exposure to road traffic. This index is calculated as a count of vehicles (average annual daily traffic) at major roads within 500 meters of residential areas (i.e.,

Census Block centroids) divided by distance in kilometers (km). Traffic volume is based on average annual daily traffic (AADT) estimates in the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) dataset in the Department of Transportation (DOT) National Transportation Atlas Database (NTAD). Each Block Group was assigned a Traffic Proximity and Volume score based on a population-weighted average of the scores for the Census Blocks within the respective Block Group.

The 2019 version of EJSCREEN uses 2017 HPMS data.

# Database of Road Transportation Emissions (DARTE)

The Database of Road Transportation Emissions (DARTE) provides a 38-year, 1-km resolution inventory of annual on-road  $CO_2$  emissions for the conterminous United States based on roadway-level vehicle traffic data and state-specific emissions factors for multiple vehicle types on urban and rural roads as compiled in the Database of Road Transportation Emissions (DARTE). For more details about DARTE, see the User Guide for DARTE Annual On-road CO2 Emissions on a 1-km Grid, Conterminous USA, V2, 1980-2017 at https://daac.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/dsviewer.pl?ds\_id=1735.

### On-road Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Emissions

DARTE  $CO_2$  emissions data from the on-road transportation sector are provided annually for 1980-2017 as a continuous surface at a spatial resolution of 1km in the form of GeoTIFF files for each year. The same data is also provided aggregated to U.S. 2010 Census Block Group polygons. Units of data are total emissions in kilograms of  $CO_2$  per year for each Block Group.

For the purposes of this analysis, DARTE Block Group data for the years 1990 to 2017 was downloaded as a geodatabase and processed in R.

# American Community Survey 5-year Estimates

The American Community Survey (ACS) is an ongoing survey by the U.S. Census Bureau that provides information on a yearly basis about the U.S. and its people. The ACS provides detailed information on economic, housing, and demographic characteristics about the population that are not captured by the decennial Census.

The ACS provides greater demographic detail and temporal resolution than the decennial Census, but its geographic resolution is more limited. While the decennial Census is based on an enumeration (i.e., a total count) of everyone in the U.S. once every decade, the ACS is based on a statistical sample of approximately 3.5 million addresses across the country each year. As a result of this sampling approach, the ACS estimates must be pooled, or combined, across multiple years in order to provide reliable estimates for smaller areas (i.e. areas with less than 20,000 people), such as at the Tract or Block Group levels. While it is possible to know the number of low income households across the U.S. annually, one may only know this about a Tract or Block Group based on 5-year estimates. Since 2010, the ACS has published 5-year data (beginning with 2005–2009 estimates) for all geographic areas down to the census Tract and Block Group levels. For more detail on the ACS, see Understanding and Using American Community Survey Data: What All Data Users Need to Know at https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/guidance/handbooks/general.html.

For the purposes of this analysis ACS 5-year estimates for the period 2014 - 2018 for Census Tracts and Block Groups in New England, as well as their associated TIGER/Line spatial files, were downloaded from the Census Bureau via API using the tigris package in R. Demographic variables consistent with those used by the EPA in EJSCREEN were chosen, as well as environmental justice population thresholds used by states where available. Table 9 below lists the demographic variables that were downloaded directly or computed from ACS variables:

Variable	Description	ACS Table ID	Geography
Total Population	Total population	B03002: Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race	Block Group
People of Color	Persons of Hispanic or Latino origin or persons who are not White	B03002: Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race	Block Group
Low Income	People in households where the household income is less than or equal to twice the federal poverty level	C17002: Ratio of Income to Poverty Level	Block Group
Limited English Household	People in households where all adults speak English less than "very well"	C16002: Household Language by Household Limited English Speaking Status	Block Group
Less than High School Education	Adults 25 years+ with less than a high school education	B15002: Sex by Educational Attainment	Block Group
Under 5	Persons under 5 years of age	B01001: Sex by Age	Block Group
Over 64	Persons over 64 years of age	B01001: Sex by Age	Block Group
CT Income	Connecticut Low Income threshold: 30% or more people in households where the household income is less than or equal to twice the federal poverty level	B01001: Sex by Age	Block Group

#### Table 9: Demographic Variables

#### Population-weighted averages

Wherever feasible, population exposure to pollutants or other risks is reported as a population-weighted average. A population weighted-average is equivalent to a weighted mean in which the raw values for which a mean (or average) is calculated are multiplied by a weight factor. For example, we are interested in knowing whether People of Color are exposed to higher average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations than the general or Total Population. Consider the table below.

Table 10: Block Group Populations and PM2.5

BG	PM25	People of Color	Non-POC	TotalPop
BG1	2.5	5	20	25

BG	PM25	People of Color	Non-POC	TotalPop
BG2	$6.2 \\ 10.0 \\ 5.0$	10	12	22
BG3		20	5	25
BG4		10	10	20

Table 10: Block Group Populations and PM2.5 (continued)

Population numbers of People of Color, as well as the total population, and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations, are each reported by Block Group. Since each Block Group is associated with one  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration value, we might assume (incorrectly) that everyone is equally exposed to the average  $PM_{2.5}$  values of all Block Groups (5.92). However, not all Block Groups have the same number of people or categories, which means that each  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration value is associated with different categories and numbers of people. Do more People of Color occupy Block Groups with higher concentrations than the simple average would indicate?

To calculate the population-weighted average  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure for People of Color, the number of People of Color in each Block Group is used as a 'weight'. The  $PM_{2.5}$  concentraions of each Block Group is multiplied by its respective number of People of Color. See the table below. The light gray column on the right is the product of  $PM_{2.5}$  values and numbers of People of Color.

Table 11: Block Group Populations and PM2.5

BG	PM25	People of Color	Non-POC	TotalPop	PM25xPOC
BG1	2.5	5	20	25	12.5
BG2	6.2	10	12	22	62.0
BG3	10.0	20	5	25	200.0
BG4	5.0	10	10	20	50.0
Total	23.7	45	47	92	324.5

The total or sum of the products (i.e., People of Color x  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations) is then divided by the sum of the weights (i.e., total People of Color), so that 324.5/45 = 7.21. The result is a weighted average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration that is influenced by the number of People of Color.

This process is repeated for the Total Population so that the two population-weighted average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations can be compared. Below is the calculation of population-weighted calculation for the total population.

 Table 12: Block Group Populations and PM2.5

BG	PM25	People of Color	Non-POC	TotalPop	PM25xTotalPop
BG1	2.5	5	20	25	62.5
BG2	6.2	10	12	22	136.4
BG3	10.0	20	5	25	250.0
BG4	5.0	10	10	20	100.0
Total	23.7	<b>45</b>	47	92	548.9

For the TotalPop, the population-weighted average of  $PM_{2.5}$  is 548.9/92 = 5.97. Thus we can see that People of Color experience a higher population-weighted average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration than the general or total population.

# **Appendix B: Supplementary Figures**



# Pollutant Correlation Matrix for Connecticut

Figure 35: Spearman's correlation matrix of pollutants by Census Block Group.



Figure 36: Spearman's correlation matrix of annual PM2.5 concentrations and the proportions of priority populations by Census Block Group.



Figure 37: Spearman's correlation matrix of summer Ozone concentrations and the proportions of priority populations by Census Block Group.



Figure 38: Boxplot of 7 Carbon Dioxoide on-road emissions in metric tons per square kilometer by state at Census Block Group level. 1 dot = 1 Block Group.



Figure 39: Spearman's correlation matrix of annual average Diesel Particulate Matter concentrations and the proportions of priority populations by Census Block Group.



Figure 40: Spearman's correlation matrix of lifetime cancer risk and the proportions of priority populations by Census Block Group.



Figure 41: Spearman's correlation matrix of respiratory hazard index and the proportions of priority populations by Census Block Group.



Figure 42: Spearman's correlation matrix of traffic proximity and volume and the proportions of priority populations by Census Block Group.

Municipality	PM2.5	Ozone	DPM	CO2	Cancer	Resp Hazard	Traffic
					RISK	Index	Exposure
Andover	6.44	44.68	0.177	3,023.8	21.6	0.252	54.2
Ansonia	7.76	47.14	0.333	1,832.3	25.1	0.304	398.1
Ashford	6.00	43.42	0.163	2,258.0	20.7	0.239	11.3
Avon	6.78	45.12	0.192	3,735.8	23.6	0.277	69.7
Barkhamsted	6.16	44.43	0.145	4,305.4	20.3	0.232	14.3
Beacon Falls	7.61	46.49	0.268	$6,\!658.2$	23.9	0.286	214.9
Berlin	7.24	45.93	0.306	10,467.0	27.0	0.325	217.0
Bethany	7.58	46.54	0.250	2,934.8	24.1	0.287	37.8
Bethel	7.82	46.80	0.295	3,798.2	24.0	0.293	135.2
Bethlehem	6.89	45.57	0.163	1,477.7	21.4	0.248	0.2
Bloomfield	6.76	44.80	0.258	$6,\!431.9$	25.8	0.314	122.7
Bolton	6.60	44.73	0.204	11,082.8	23.9	0.279	88.0
Bozrah	6.06	44.65	0.188	4,032.0	21.7	0.252	156.5
Branford	7.20	47.74	0.365	$17,\!436.6$	25.5	0.318	386.3
Bridgeport	8.10	48.64	0.509	$5,\!691.3$	26.9	0.347	1,706.4
Bridgewater	7.34	45.79	0.219	1,722.0	22.3	0.264	1.9
Bristol	7.03	45.60	0.234	1.498.8	23.7	0.284	236.0
Brookfield	7.55	46.10	0.258	6.815.0	24.0	0.287	118.0
Brooklyn	5.93	43.14	0.178	2.371.0	21.6	0.251	55.5
Burlington	6.73	45.29	0.178	1,909.0	22.4	0.259	16.0
Canaan	5 90	44 16	0.123	$5\ 159\ 9$	18.9	0 214	0.3
Canterbury	5.89	43 50	0.129	2,378,5	20.9	0.242	0.8
Canton	6.46	44 77	0.160	3 804 9	20.0	0.247	57.4
Chaplin	6.02	43.57	0.100	1 736 9	21.2	0.241	52.5
Cheshire	7.42	46 21	0.140	144427	24.2	0.240	234.8
Chebhire	0.55	10.21	0.201	2 770 0	21.2	0.200	201.0
Chester	6.55	46.59	0.198	3,778.2	21.5	0.251	57.8
Clinton	6.63	47.71	0.234	13,311.8	21.2	0.253	207.5
Colchester	6.33	45.23	0.185	5,190.8	21.5	0.250	78.9
Colebrook	5.91	44.06	0.121	5,279.4	19.0	0.215	6.7
Columbia	6.29	44.57	0.180	1,950.5	21.4	0.249	43.5
Cornwall	6.02	44.84	0.121	4,141.9	19.2	0.219	0.0
Coventry	6.36	44.36	0.178	$1,\!946.3$	22.1	0.256	26.2
Cromwell	7.10	45.96	0.337	$23,\!963.6$	27.0	0.327	555.5
Danbury	7.73	46.32	0.328	16,500.9	24.2	0.301	327.7
Darien	8.08	48.91	0.501	$10,\!190.3$	28.2	0.368	836.8
Deep River	6.51	46.92	0.210	$3,\!396.1$	21.7	0.253	59.4
Derby	7.80	47.31	0.350	3,079.1	26.2	0.316	1,002.6
Durham	7.06	46.55	0.231	2,314.0	23.9	0.278	56.4
East Granby	6.52	44.23	0.192	3,783.7	24.1	0.293	26.0
East Haddam	6.39	45.85	0.177	3,814.0	20.9	0.243	1.0
East Hampton	6.69	45.80	0.190	2,015.5	22.4	0.261	46.6
East Hartford	6.95	45.12	0.415	17,660.0	27.8	0.348	1,344.6

Table 13: Average Pollutant and Transportation Burden Values by Municipality in Connecticut

Municipality	PM2.5	Ozone	DPM	CO2	Cancer Risk	Resp Hazard Index	Traffic Exposure
East Haven	7.34	47.49	0.415	3,600.1	27.5	0.325	378.1
East Lyme	6.15	46.41	0.210	30,735.6	21.1	0.249	180.8
Ěast	6.71	44.37	0.251	5,382.0	26.6	0.319	227.5
Windsor							
Eastford	5.95	43.16	0.133	5,652.8	20.0	0.232	0.0
Easton	8.01	47.82	0.257	3,246.6	24.4	0.294	19.0
Ellington	6.52	44.14	0.207	2,713.2	24.7	0.290	38.6
Enfield	6.62	44.02	0.271	8,517.8	26.7	0.319	300.7
Essex	6.43	47.12	0.217	$3,\!982.1$	21.5	0.249	100.2
Fairfield	8.08	48.65	0.395	6,780.0	25.7	0.327	759.2
Farmington	6.98	45.41	0.249	$22,\!605.5$	25.1	0.296	378.0
Franklin	6.02	44.22	0.187	$2,\!449.3$	21.2	0.245	42.2
Glastonbury	6.84	45.35	0.243	5,009.3	24.2	0.287	238.0
Goshen	6.03	44.99	0.130	$3,\!140.7$	19.0	0.215	0.0
Granby	6.28	44.12	0.152	$2,\!630.6$	21.2	0.247	26.5
Greenwich	8.16	48.23	0.471	$8,\!113.9$	27.7	0.359	617.3
Griswold	5.72	43.64	0.180	$10,\!135.6$	21.0	0.243	45.4
Groton	5.86	45.78	0.298	7,961.6	21.3	0.257	162.7
Guilford	7.01	47.37	0.246	10,746.7	22.7	0.268	85.5
Haddam	6.73	46.35	0.203	$4,\!489.8$	22.2	0.260	78.2
Hamden	7.51	46.71	0.310	1,535.5	25.4	0.306	304.7
Hampton	5.95	43.38	0.141	$4,\!938.3$	20.4	0.234	16.3
Hartford	7.00	45.18	0.462	$19,\!836.8$	28.0	0.348	$1,\!123.7$
Hartland	6.02	44.01	0.125	$6,\!371.9$	19.5	0.221	0.0
Harwinton	6.61	45.33	0.179	$6,\!429.2$	21.7	0.251	33.5
Hebron	6.44	45.01	0.178	2,862.1	21.3	0.247	21.3
Kent	6.50	44.57	0.154	4,032.2	20.3	0.239	0.1
Killingly	6.01	42.84	0.195	13,730.1	22.0	0.257	98.3
Killingworth	6.76	46.93	0.196	1,778.8	21.5	0.254	2.5
Lebanon	6.16	44.54	0.179	2,546.5	21.2	0.247	19.0
Ledyard	5.84	44.81	0.205	2,347.0	21.3	0.248	32.0
Lisbon	5.85	43.84	0.194	5,829.9	21.2	0.248	45.1
Litchfield	6.46	45.36	0.162	8,213.8	20.4	0.236	62.7
Lyme	6.30	46.38	0.178	$1,\!546.4$	20.8	0.243	0.3
Madison	6.85	47.30	0.228	$13,\!844.7$	21.8	0.260	138.4
Manchester	6.79	44.91	0.284	42,994.4	25.4	0.303	611.3
Mansfield	6.15	43.95	0.186	$3,\!110.2$	22.1	0.257	81.5
Marlborough	6.59	45.35	0.195	$5,\!412.8$	22.1	0.258	159.8
Meriden	7.31	46.20	0.342	9,747.9	26.3	0.321	878.9
Middlebury	7.40	46.07	0.248	29,269.7	23.1	0.277	390.0
Middlefield	7.20	46.32	0.304	$3,\!073.4$	26.7	0.320	58.8
Middletown	7.06	46.24	0.291	$4,\!580.0$	25.4	0.304	439.1
Milford	7.81	48.36	0.461	$14,\!412.0$	26.2	0.339	852.6
Monroe	7.88	47.25	0.264	3,034.8	23.9	0.288	45.7
Montville	6.03	45.12	0.200	$11,\!655.4$	20.9	0.245	199.5

Table 13: Average Pollutant and Transportation Burden Values by Municipality in Connecticut (continued)

Municipality	PM2.5	Ozone	DPM	CO2	Cancer Risk	Resp Hazard Index	Traffic Exposure
Morris	6.66	45.45	0.152	2,517.1	21.0	0.243	1.4
Naugatuck	7.53	46.25	0.286	$2,\!176.9$	23.8	0.285	383.5
New Britain	7.15	45.66	0.351	4,521.0	26.6	0.321	852.2
New Canaan	8.05	48.09	0.345	5,265.3	26.6	0.335	201.4
New Fairfield	7.46	45.54	0.213	2,748.6	22.9	0.273	14.8
New Hartford	6.38	44.92	0.162	2,073.9	21.6	0.247	57.8
New Haven	7.49	47.25	0.450	9,730.0	27.5	0.329	1,658.0
New London	5.97	46.27	0.428	4,335.0	20.6	0.253	966.5
New Milford	6.99	45.16	0.206	2,038.3	22.1	0.264	85.4
Newington	7.13	45.62	0.343	$3,\!507.1$	27.9	0.339	264.6
Newtown	7.74	46.75	0.265	$24,\!902.9$	23.7	0.284	171.8
Norfolk	5.90	44.16	0.123	$3,\!491.4$	18.9	0.214	59.5
North Branford	7.22	47.04	0.271	4,916.1	24.9	0.294	100.3
North Canaan	5.90	43.73	0.134	1,743.2	19.5	0.221	61.4
North Haven	7.39	46.83	0.359	16,415.3	27.6	0.313	537.8
North Stonington	5.62	43.93	0.190	5,339.4	20.0	0.233	38.2
Norwalk	8.05	48.81	0.468	5,124.6	28.6	0.346	797.2
Norwich	5.94	44.32	0.233	26,407.7	21.8	0.257	279.9
Old Lyme	6.26	47.24	0.245	11,353.1	21.8	0.255	98.8
Old Saybrook	6.40	47.82	0.295	44,293.8	24.5	0.297	313.7
Orange	7.74	47.67	0.380	12.037.3	25.5	0.319	380.1
Oxford	7.65	46.56	0.236	5.052.0	23.2	0.277	28.5
Plainfield	5.83	43.28	0.203	7.837.2	22.3	0.264	83.9
Plainville	7.13	45.65	0.294	$15,\!873.7$	25.4	0.311	875.9
Plymouth	7.00	45.65	0.202	1,475.4	22.9	0.269	114.5
Pomfret	5.96	42.94	0.157	3,668.0	20.5	0.237	4.1
Portland	6.91	45.93	0.232	$3,\!126.3$	23.9	0.282	55.9
Preston	5.81	44.13	0.187	$4,\!276.3$	20.8	0.243	73.2
Prospect	7.49	46.23	0.262	3,569.9	23.8	0.285	34.4
Putnam	6.06	42.62	0.198	7,708.2	21.7	0.253	112.8
Redding	7.92	47.27	0.261	$3,\!455.9$	23.7	0.286	23.2
Ridgefield	7.87	46.81	0.257	$2,\!631.9$	23.5	0.287	99.6
Rocky Hill	7.10	45.73	0.342	$7,\!628.5$	27.9	0.337	575.9
Roxbury	7.20	45.72	0.182	2,564.3	21.5	0.253	0.8
Salem	6.17	45.35	0.172	$4,\!592.5$	20.3	0.237	32.5
Salisbury	6.05	43.58	0.141	2,903.5	19.5	0.223	31.2
Scotland	5.96	43.73	0.159	$3,\!424.6$	20.7	0.239	0.0
Seymour	7.73	46.86	0.292	$5,\!304.5$	24.1	0.291	351.0
Sharon	6.15	44.23	0.143	4,060.1	19.7	0.228	0.1
Shelton	7.90	47.53	0.316	$3,\!417.4$	25.8	0.310	156.3

Table 13: Average Pollutant and Transportation Burden Values by Municipality in Connecticut (continued)

Municipality	PM2.5	Ozone	DPM	CO2	Cancer Risk	Resp Hazard Index	Traffic Exposure
Sherman	7.14	44.94	0.199	3,951.8	22.5	0.267	3.3
Simsbury	6.55	44.66	0.181	2,574.0	22.8	0.273	46.7
Somers	6.44	43.85	0.200	3,207.5	23.9	0.281	65.1
South	6.82	44.72	0.288	8,095.4	26.8	0.321	136.2
Windsor							
Southbury	7.51	46.27	0.229	$26,\!147.9$	22.7	0.269	160.6
Southington	7.27	45.89	0.271	20,163.8	25.1	0.302	243.0
Sprague	5.93	43.93	0.177	2,844.4	20.6	0.243	0.3
Stafford	6.11	43.49	0.158	$3,\!831.6$	21.4	0.246	17.9
Stamford	8.09	48.33	0.423	$3,\!804.0$	26.7	0.340	604.5
Sterling	5.77	43.11	0.165	$2,\!353.1$	20.5	0.238	0.4
Stonington	5.69	44.88	0.243	$5,\!557.9$	20.4	0.246	107.7
Stratford	7.98	48.55	0.455	8,069.7	26.6	0.336	786.9
Suffield	6.53	43.96	0.219	3,063.0	25.1	0.303	37.7
Thomaston	6.90	45.60	0.231	11,386.8	22.7	0.268	267.8
Thompson	6.09	42.42	0.178	4,513.1	21.6	0.243	43.5
Tolland	6.36	44.08	0.215	$15,\!106.1$	22.8	0.267	117.5
Torrington	6.24	45.02	0.173	$6,\!588.5$	21.6	0.247	134.4
Trumbull	8.02	47.97	0.354	7,750.0	25.6	0.313	497.1
Union	5.95	43.23	0.157	$53,\!675.1$	20.7	0.238	163.8
Vernon	6.65	44.51	0.284	12,299.8	26.0	0.310	357.9
Voluntown	5.59	43.38	0.161	$3,\!617.0$	19.9	0.230	0.1
Wallingford	7.32	46.49	0.312	8,965.6	25.3	0.303	338.2
Warren	6.36	45.05	0.142	4,838.5	19.9	0.230	0.6
Washington	6.75	45.30	0.169	$2,\!498.9$	20.5	0.240	25.0
Waterbury	7.37	45.99	0.325	$7,\!403.3$	24.3	0.301	817.9
Waterford	6.03	46.11	0.251	$17,\!168.7$	21.0	0.250	255.2
Watertown	7.09	45.74	0.221	6,068.1	22.8	0.270	74.8
West Hartford	6.96	45.21	0.325	2,852.9	26.8	0.324	562.9
West Haven	7.59	47.68	0.399	$5,\!336.3$	25.3	0.316	771.1
Westbrook	6.52	47.70	0.250	35.103.1	23.0	0.277	146.1
Weston	8.01	47.97	0.262	3.036.1	23.8	0.287	17.1
Westport	8.05	48.83	0.363	15.324.7	25.3	0.318	721.3
Wethersfield	7.07	45.50	0.380	15.081.1	28.2	0.345	845.8
Willington	6.13	43.72	0.187	15,621.0	21.6	0.253	66.3
Wilton	8.00	47.91	0.311	3,761.7	24.5	0.301	125.2
Winchester	6.04	44.56	0.151	2,715.9	20.2	0.229	103.2
Windham	6.06	43.99	0.186	2,273.8	21.8	0.255	136.8
Windsor	6.77	44.62	0.333	$10,\!350.0$	27.2	0.339	595.7
Windsor Locks	6.67	44.31	0.323	14,068.2	28.1	0.371	276.7
Wolcott	7.27	45.87	0.250	2.085.7	23.9	0.285	65.9
Woodbridge	7.66	46.99	0.294	4.586.3	24.8	0.297	275.6
Woodbury	7.21	45.85	0.175	1,797.4	21.7	0.254	17.1

Table 13: Average Pollutant and Transportation Burden Values by Municipality in Connecticut (continued)

Municipality	PM2.5	Ozone	DPM	CO2	Cancer Risk	Resp Hazard Index	Traffic Exposure
Woodstock	5.94	42.73	0.142	4,510.3	20.4	0.237	0.7

Table 13: Average Pollutant and Transportation Burden Values by Municipality in Connecticut (continued)

Note:

Pollutant values by municipality are a geographically weighted average of intersecting Block Groups. See Appendix A for definitions of pollutants and units of measure.